

## Perspective

By JAMIE BUCKINGHAM

## Blaming Madalyn

Everyone, it seems, gets angry when you mention Madalyn Murray O'Hair. The Christians get angry because they believe she is responsible for having prayer removed from the public schools. The atheists get angry because they say she gets blamed for everything. The KKK gets angry because they haven't had much to fight about recently and they need something to keep their juices going. Newspaper editors get angry because an angry something."

Following that "letter to the munity seemed to be up in arms violates the Constitution. again. Fortunately, a few folks had

FCC says they are still receiving state authorities — and banned 8,500 protest letters a day. And it wasn't Mrs. O'Hair who had filed the petition, it was two California attorneys.

Neither is Mrs. O'Hair responsible for having prayer removed from the public schools. In fact, there is NO RULING banning prayer from the public schools.

In 1962 the Supreme Court handed down a landmark case ruling that a government written and approved community writes lots of angry prayer, required by the government letters demanding they "do to be recited by school children, is unconstitutional.

In 1963 Mrs. O'Hair was a party in editor" a couple of weeks ago in an auxiliary case when the court which a concerned citizen accused ruled that governmentally-required Mrs. O'Hair of trying to get religious religious devotions for school broadcasting removed from the children, such as Bible reading andpublic airwaves, the whole com- or recitation of the Lord's Prayer,

Contrary to a number of accurate information and the rumor emotional appeals still being made was quickly stopped. While there to "put prayer back in the public was a petition before the Federal schools," the high court did not ban O'Hair says does not exist is still Communications Commission back prayers in the schools; it only working all things for good to those in 1975, it was denied. Even so, the banned those prayers prescribed by who love Him.

state-sponsored religious devotions and-or teachings.

In writing the majority opinion for the 1962 case, Justice Hugo Black stated: "Students have the right to practice prayer and read the Bible. But they do not have the right to the aid of the state in that exercise."

It was one of the high court's finest decisions, for it has protected our school children from all kinds of weird religions. In October, 1977, a federal district judge in Newark, N.J., used that ruling to deny the supporters of Transcendental Meditation (TM) from getting their teachings into the public schools. Kids can practice TM if they want. just as they can read the Bible and pray, but it's not to be taught in the classroom.

Although she didn't realize it at the time, Mrs. O'Hair did us all a favor. You see, the very God Mrs.